# Just What Do Your Pediatric Audiologists Know?

Lisa Satterfield, M.S., CCC/A

Jennifer Sherwood, M.A., F/AAA

California Department of Health Care Services

EHDI Conference, March 2, 2010

## California Infant Audiology Symposium, July 2009

- California Newborn Hearing Screening Program
- California Title V Program for Children with Special Needs
- Joint Committee on Infant Hearing (JCIH) 2007 Position Paper
  - Appropriate infant diagnostic test battery
  - Appropriate treatment for infants with hearing loss
- California Part-C Early Intervention Program
- California Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology Board Ethical Standards
- Auditory Neuropathy Spectrum Disorder and evaluation in infants
- Cochlear implant referrals

### Pre and Post Test

- Questions submitted by speakers
- All multiple choice
- 25 questions total
  - 3-5 questions per topic
- Identical questions pre and post test
- Pre given at opening
- Post given after final speaker

## Participants



- 74 Audiologists
- 5 "Non-audiologists"
- Demographic information included:
  - Type of Degree
    - MS, AuD, Ph.D., "other"
  - Where last degree was obtained
    - In residence CA
    - In residence other
    - Online
  - Years licensed

### Participants

- Self-Measures of Pediatric Knowledge and Experience
  - Scale 1 to 7, 1 = "Very Little" and 7 = "Pediatric Specialist"
  - Same scale for knowledge and experience on pre and post test



|                    | Pretest | Post-Test |
|--------------------|---------|-----------|
| Average %          | 63.9%   | 80.7%     |
| Average Score      | 16.0    | 20.2      |
| High Score         | 24      | 24        |
| Low Score          | 8       | 13        |
| Mode               | 14      | 21        |
| Median             | 16      | 20        |
| Standard Deviation | 3.1     | 2.5       |

- Pretest Passing Score:
  - 21/79
  - 26.6%
- Post-Test Passing Score:
  - 71/79
  - 89.9%



- No one question was exposed as a problem question
- Questions missed by ½ or more in pre-test
  - The national and California loss to follow-up statistics
  - The appropriate follow-up for a NICU infant referring on an inpatient hearing screen
  - How to report screening and diagnostic results
  - Benefits of California's Title V Program

- Questions missed by ½ or more in pre-test (continued)
  - Appropriate Early Intervention referral process
  - Communication outcomes most studied in children with hearing loss
  - Signs that must be present in a patient with Auditory Neuropathy Spectrum Disorder (ANSD)
  - Contraindications to cochlear implantation and ANSD
  - Normal tympanogram indications
  - Middle ear muscle reflexes in infants



- Questions missed by ½ or more in post-test
  - How to report screening and diagnostic results
  - Contraindications to cochlear implantation and ANSD



# Participant Demographics

| AuD - 42       | MS - 28 | Ph.D 4 |
|----------------|---------|--------|
| 2 – In res. CA | 18 – CA | 2 – CA |
| 4 – In res. OS | 10 - OS | 2 – OS |
| 36 – Online    |         |        |



## Results by Degree

#### The winners...

- Au.D. in residence and Ph.D.!
  - Online Au.D. and Master Degree scored near equivalent, average 16.3 on pretest and 19.9 on post-test
  - Years of licensure indicated corresponding increase in scores as experience increased
  - Au.D. outscored Ph.D. in pretest, but Ph.D. outscored everyone post-test with average 23.3

# Self titled "Pediatric Audiologists"



#### Pretest

- 31 indicated they were "Pediatric Specialists"
- 18 indicated maximum rating of experience with children
- Post-test
  - 23 indicated they were "Pediatric Specialists"
  - 44 indicated they were closer to being a Pediatric Specialist after the symposium



- 52.7% of participants believed they increased their knowledge of pediatric audiology after the symposium
- 92.4% improved their test scores
- 68.4% improved their test scores by 20% or more

- Questions missed by most participants included state-specific, programmatic questions
  - While information may be available in print, providers are not trained in navigating state or federal programs





- ANSD was the least known
  type of hearing loss
  - Tympanometry and acoustic reflex interpretation also initially presented as unknown
  - Anecdotally ABR bone conduction is not used enough clinically, but respondents indicated they knew it should be used



- Skewed confidence in providers
  - For 1/3 of providers who rated themselves high pretest, selfmeasures of knowledge and experience DECREASED with presentations
  - For providers who rated themselves low pretest, selfmeasures increased to midline

- Of the 31 that initially rated themselves as "Pediatric Specialists", only 11 passed the pretest
- The remaining 10 who passed the pretest rated themselves between 3-5 on experience and knowledge
- 21 Pediatric Specialists did not change their self-measure, and only 1 still failed the post-test

- This forum for teaching was effective in the short-term for learning
- EHDI programs need to offer specific training to providers for navigating state systems

 Further definition of "Pediatric Specialists" is necessary from national and state organizations

### Questions?

- California Newborn Hearing Screening Program
- <u>Lisa.Satterfield@dhcs.ca.</u> <u>gov</u>
- Jennifer.Sherwood@dhcs. ca.gov

